

(B) Subparagraph (1) does not apply to the Committee on Appropriations, the Committee on House Administration, the Committee on Rules, or the Committee on Ethics, and does not apply when a cost estimate and comparison prepared by the Director of the Congressional Budget Office under section 402 of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974 has been included in the report under paragraph (c)(3).

(e)(1) Whenever a committee reports a bill or joint resolution proposing to repeal or amend a statute or part thereof, it shall include in its report or in an accompanying document—

(A) the text of a statute or part thereof that is proposed to be repealed; and

(B) a comparative print of any part of the bill or joint resolution proposing to amend the statute and of the statute or part thereof proposed to be amended, showing by appropriate typographical devices the omissions and insertions proposed.

(2) If a committee reports a bill or joint resolution proposing to repeal or amend a statute or part thereof with a recommendation that the bill or joint resolution be amended, the comparative print required by subparagraph (1) shall reflect the changes in existing law proposed to be made by the bill or joint resolution as proposed to be amended.

(f)(1) A report of the Committee on Appropriations on a general appropriation bill shall include—

(A) a concise statement describing the effect of any provision of the accompanying bill that directly or indirectly changes the application of existing law; and

(B) a list of all appropriations contained in the bill for expenditures not currently authorized by law for the period concerned (excepting classified intelligence or national security programs, projects, or activities), along with a statement of the last year for which such expenditures were authorized, the level of expenditures authorized for that year, the actual level of expenditures for that year, and the level of appropriations in the bill for such expenditures.

(2) Whenever the Committee on Appropriations reports a bill or joint resolution including matter specified in clause 1(b)(2) or (3) of rule X, it shall include—

(A) in the bill or joint resolution, separate headings for “Rescissions” and “Transfers of Unexpended Balances”; and

(B) in the report of the committee, a separate section listing such rescissions and transfers.

(g) Whenever the Committee on Rules reports a resolution proposing to repeal or amend a standing rule of the House, it shall include in its report or in an accompanying document—

(1) the text of any rule or part thereof that is proposed to be repealed; and

(2) a comparative print of any part of the resolution proposing to amend the rule and of the rule or part thereof proposed to be amended, showing by appropriate typographical devices the omissions and insertions proposed.

(h)(1) It shall not be in order to consider a bill or joint resolution reported by the Committee on Ways and Means that proposes to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 unless—

(A) the report includes a tax complexity analysis prepared by the Joint Committee on Internal Revenue Taxation in accordance with section 4022(b) of the Internal Revenue Service Restructuring and Reform Act of 1998; or

(B) the chair of the Committee on Ways and Means causes such a tax complexity analysis to be printed in the Congressional Record before consideration of the bill or joint resolution.

(2)(A) It shall not be in order to consider a bill or joint resolution reported by the Committee on Ways and Means that proposes to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 unless—

(i) the report includes a macroeconomic impact analysis;

(ii) the report includes a statement from the Joint Committee on Internal Revenue Taxation explaining why a macroeconomic impact analysis is not calculable; or

(iii) the chair of the Committee on Ways and Means causes a macroeconomic impact analysis to be printed in the Congressional Record before consideration of the bill or joint resolution.

(B) In subdivision (A), the term “macroeconomic impact analysis” means—

(i) an estimate prepared by the Joint Committee on Internal Revenue Taxation of the changes in economic output, employment, capital stock, and tax revenues expected to result from enactment of the proposal; and

(ii) a statement from the Joint Committee on Internal Revenue Taxation identifying the critical assumptions and the source of data underlying that estimate.

#### APPENDIX 2

##### CHAIR'S POLICY REGARDING POSTPONED VOTES

January 23, 2013

Rule 3(c)(5) of the Rules of the Committee on Financial Services for the 113th Congress (adopted pursuant to clause 2(h)(4) of rule XI of the Rules of the House of Representatives for the 113th Congress) authorizes the Chairman to postpone ordered record votes on the question of approving any measure or matter or adopting an amendment under certain circumstances. The Committee rule further provides that the Chairman may resume proceedings on a postponed vote at any time, but not later than the next meeting day.

The following policy was first announced by the Chair at the Committee's organizational meeting on February 5, 2003 and circulated to the Members of the Committee in a memorandum dated February 11, 2003. The policy has been continued since that time and is reprinted here for easy reference.

#### IN GENERAL

Members are advised that this policy applies equally to meetings of the full Committee and subcommittees, and will be printed in the Committee's rules pamphlet. The Chair has consulted with the ranking minority member in the formulation of this policy and will continue to consult with him regarding its application.

As announced at the Committee's organizational meeting, the purpose of this rule is to improve the efficiency of the Committee's meetings, and will not be used to advantage or disadvantage any member seeking to offer an amendment. In order to ensure that the Chair can effectively administer the rule and provide for orderly markups, it is essential that Members inform the Chair of their intention to offer a particular amendment as soon as possible. The Chair cannot protect Members if he does not know of their amendment. Members are further advised that the Chair intends for this rule to be used sparingly, in cases where the Committee faces a long markup on a series of bills or amendments. It does not substitute for the active attendance and participation of Members in Committee meetings.

#### IN PARTICULAR

1. In the application of the rule, the Chair will consult regularly with the ranking minority member regarding the postponement of votes, including the decision on whether to postpone a particular vote and on when proceedings will resume.

2. A record vote on an amendment will not be postponed if doing so would prejudice a

member with regard to the offering of another amendment.

3. The Chair will make every reasonable effort to group the consideration of amendments and the resumption of proceedings on postponed votes so as to permit the offering of all known amendments.

4. No more than 6 record votes will be postponed before the resumption of proceedings on the series, except with the specific agreement of the ranking minority member.

5. When proceedings resume on postponed record votes, the first vote in any series (or in the case of a single postponed vote, that vote), will remain open for 15 minutes, or until all members of the Committee or subcommittee are recorded. Subsequent votes in a series will not be held open.

6. The Chair will make every reasonable effort to notify members regarding the resumption of proceedings on postponed record votes, both prior to and at the time that proceedings resume on any postponed record vote, which includes notification through electronic means.

7. Members are strongly encouraged to attend all committee meetings. However, if members cannot attend the Committee meeting, they are advised to monitor the proceedings through the Committee broadcasts on the House cable system or the Committee's webcast, and to have staff present at the meeting.

The Chair believes that this policy will result in the fair application of the rule, the protection of Members' rights to offer amendments, and an improvement in the efficiency of Committee meetings.

#### ADJOURNMENT

Mr. GOHMERT. Mr. Speaker, pursuant to House Concurrent Resolution 15, 113th Congress, I move that the House do now adjourn.

The motion was agreed to; accordingly (at 1 p.m.), the House adjourned until Monday, February 25, 2013, at 2 p.m.

#### EXECUTIVE COMMUNICATIONS, ETC.

Under clause 2 of rule XIV, executive communications were taken from the Speaker's table and referred as follows:

301. A letter from the Congressional Review Coordinator, Department of Agriculture, transmitting the Department's final rule — Importation of Fresh Bananas From the Philippines into the Continental United States [Docket No.: APHIS-2011-0028] (RIN: 0579-AD61) received February 7, 2013, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Agriculture.

302. A letter from the Congressional Review Coordinator, Department of Agriculture, transmitting the Department's final rule — Texas (Splenetic) Fever in Cattle [Docket No.: APHIS-2012-0069] received February 7, 2013, to the Committee on Agriculture.

303. A letter from the Congressional Review Coordinator, Department of Agriculture, transmitting the Department's final rule — Importation of Horses From Contagious Equine Metritis-Affected Countries [Docket No.: APHIS-2008-0112] (RIN: 0579-AD31) received February 12, 2013, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Agriculture.

304. A letter from the Administrator, Department of Agriculture, transmitting the Department's final rule — Soybean Promotion and Research: Amend the Order To